IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 17495 of 2002

Between:

1. K.Venkataramulu, S/o. Thimmanna
2. Narayana, S/o. Santhanna
3. K. Srikaraharanath, S/o. Shankaraiah
4. P. Shalimiah, S/o. Dastagiri,
5. V. Sreenivasa Rao, S/o. Venkateswarlu
6. G. Venkateswarlu, S/o. Somanna,
7. G. Annapurna, D/o. Ranganna

(The above petitioners R/o. Pragathi Vidyaniketan School, Gadwal, Mehabubnagar District)

8. C. Sridhar, S/o. Ramalingam, 3-5-86/1,

Ravindranagar, Mehabubnagar.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

-

1. The Director, Directorate of Distance Education, Sree Venkateswara University, Tirupathi.
2. The Registrar, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupathi.
3. The District Educational Officer, Mehabubnagar District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to

issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent insisting Teachers Training Certificate/SGBT qualification or other equivalent qualification and attestation on service certificate at the time of acceptance of the application forms for admission and 3rd respondent not attesting on service certificate following W.P.No.1642/94 and batch and consequently direct the first respondent not to insist teachers training certificate/SGBT qualification or other equivalent qualification and direct the 3rd respondent to attest on our service certificate following court orders for the admission of B.Ed. Programme of DDE course for the year 2002-2003.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.KOONA REDDY ANJI REDDY

(not present)

Counsel for the Respondents 1&2: MR.P.SREE RAMULU NAIDU

Counsel for Respondent No.3: G.P. for Education

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

This matter was initially listed yesterday i.e. 27-10-2004 high up in the list. It is an old matter and the list was circulated to the learned counsel well in advance. In spite of the same, when the matter was called yesterday, the learned counsel for the petitioners was absent and there was no representation for the petitioners. Therefore, this court, so as to give a last chance directed the office to list the matter

under the caption “FOR DISMISSAL”. Today the matter is appearing under the caption “FOR DISMISSAL”. In spite of the same none appears and the learned counsel for the petitioners is absent.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for default/non-prosecution.

_____

V.V.S. RAO, J.

28th October, 2004

Js

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1. The Director, Directorate of Distance Education, Sree Venkateswara University, Tirupathi.
2. The Registrar, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupathi.
3. The District Educational Officer, Mehabubnagar District.
4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.
5. 2 CD copies.